

MPW-108772_

by a b

Submission date: 01-Oct-2019 04:41PM (UTC-0700)

Submission ID: 1184164776

File name: MPW-108772_10_306_UZ.MK_seg2.doc (27K)

Word count: 323

Character count: 1894

Name

Instructor Name

Course

Date

Segment 2: Key Concepts in Political Science and Government

Supporting Materials: Locating Research Materials

Locating and selecting primary and secondary resources during a research process is not a piece of cake. The matter is extremely precarious and necessitates various aspects to be considered before incorporating a source into research. In this context, analyzing the type of document is imperative; evidently, two significant categories of research material exist i.e., opinion-based narrations and public and private records. Interviews, letters, diaries, and infamous speeches are primary sources and feature a relatively elevated level of credibility. On the other hand, sifting through the identity and backdrop of the author or narrator is also vital in pinpointing perceived biases and underlying motifs behind.

Moreover, the era when the source was written or composed explicates associated impacts in an elaborative manner. Along with other factors, the authors' motivation in writing the piece carries equal importance. In this regard, monetary, popularity, image building, or record-keeping, and other several reasons are assessed.

Segment Question: Where Do You See Bias in Research Results

In historiography, biases are essential dynamics that cannot be eradicated from conducted researches. The primary causations of implied biases in research can be an association of the writer with some particular political agenda, limited exposure, or

normative values. Monetary advantages are also prevalent in this regard as the author becomes compelled to write whatever he gets commissioned for. In some cases, when authors resist accepting the extracted outcome of a research, they alter the factoids and replace them with favorable fabrications. On the other hand, cherry-picked data and prejudiced perspectives regarding a particular issue, personality, or incident lead to biased research outcomes. Experts also deny the efficacy of research designs that employ tools such as poll or votes to attain expected conclusions. The implication of hyperbole and depiction of top results affect the credibility and reliability of a research source in an exacerbated manner.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

0%

SIMILARITY INDEX

0%

INTERNET SOURCES

0%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches Off