Your Name

Instructor Name

Course Number

Date

Law and International Law

Summarizing the facts

In the case, “Diamond J. MATTHEWS, Plaintiff, v. FOOD LION, LLC, Defendant” the basic facts are petitioner pleas from a hearing court order surrendering Perpetrator's indication for abstract ruling. In the protest the Complainant unproven that she agonized continuous pain as a consequence of her damage and experienced considerable medicinal costs. Petitioner claimed that there was enough indication to make a sincere subject of detail as to Hall's neglect and Perpetrator's obligation in the theory of respondent greater. Should Food Lion, LLC be accountable for Hall’s activities?

Setting Forth the Legal Issue

A manager is only responsible for a worker’s activities. On petition, Plaintiff set forth the legal issue such as that there is an honest subject of detail with regard to whether Hall, Perpetrator's worker, was temporary in the possibility of her service at the time of the unproven neglect.

Summarizing The Court’s Analysis and Ruling On Each Issue

Ruling is suitable if “depositions, pleadings, responses to interrogatories, and fees on folder, composed by the affirmations, if slightly, indicate that there is no honest subject as to any physical point and that some revelry is permitted to a ruling like a problem of rule.

Opinion

I believe that respondent had no power on Hall. Though Hall existed on the grounds of company, Respondent boss had no power on her behavior when respondent was “off the clock.” So, Hall was temporary outdoor the possibility of her service at the period she arrived the lavatory and Perpetrator is not accountable below the theory of respondent larger. So, the trial court correctly decided Defendant's gesture for swift judgment. Plaintiff claimed that there was adequate sign to create an honest subject of detail like to Hall's neglect and Perpetrator's obligation below the philosophy of respondent larger. This is an interesting and different petition.