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**Question Number 1**

What constitutes meaningful compensation for an organ donor, especially if the donor is poor and the recipient is wealthy? What examples of human organ sales can I find that match or do not match your definition of meaningful compensation? What other terms related to organ sales and the donation would be suitable for an argument of definition?

**Answer**

The words ‘meaningful compensation’ refers to as ethical meaning in medical terms of donating an organ. It depends hugely on the individual who is donating the organ, especially if the donor is poor and the recipient of the organ is monetarily rich (TIME, 2008). The compensation for an organ to the poor person is really meaningful in terms, whereas it is meaningful to the rich person in relation to health. There are various examples where the definition of meaningful compensation matches the situation. For instance, there was a widow who had to take care of her family of four small children so initially before finding a job she was totally helpless and had to give away one of her kidneys in exchange to money. Such examples are related to meaningful compensation where an individual willingly gives away a healthy organ in exchange for money. Other terms more suitable for such situations, in my opinion, would be ‘barter trade' because there is an exchange which of good however in the modern era it must involve money from one party.

**Question Number 2**

Why does the writer not want to spend much time on an opposing viewpoint? Why mention that viewpoint at all? How might a concession help or hinder the main claim of the essay (the thesis)? What are some opposing viewpoints you might include in your definition essay?

**Answer**

The author does not spend much time in giving opinions about an opposing view as it might confuse the reader. It will give the view of two points and the reader may get puzzled between the two views, and it will probably create a vague image of the writer as if he is defending both views. Mentioning that viewpoint is necessary as it will display the unbiased approach to the other view, therefore authors neutrally mention a little about that viewpoint (Lc.gcumedia, 2019). The concession is necessary to be developed in a thesis of the argumentative essay as it will help in presenting the understanding of a writer on every topic regardless of an opposing viewpoint, which makes an argument actually debatable.

**References**

*Breaking News, Analysis, Politics, Blogs, News Photos, Video, Tech Reviews - TIME.com*. (2008). *TIME.com*. Retrieved from http://content.time.com/time/world/article/0,8599,1833858,00.html

*Finding Purpose Through Argumentative Writing*. (2019). *Lc.gcumedia.com*. Retrieved from https://lc.gcumedia.com/eng106/finding-purpose-through-argumentative-writing/v1.1/#/chapter/1