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1. After the disclosure of several terrorist attacks in Country A held by the Intelligence Bureau of the Fanatical Republic, the evidence gathered by George should be presented to the International Court of Justice (ICJ). The evidence alone is too compelling to hold the terrorism liable for the acts of these terrorists (Trapp, 2012). If the intelligence bureau is involved in financing these terrorists over the past ten years, then they are subliminally accountable for the actions of these terrorists. The significant killing and kidnapping of the CEO of the largest firm of Country A explain that the terrorists could not plan this alone unless they have help and support from the intelligence bureau. Only the bureau has this much resources to have gone through such terrorist operations without being disclosed sooner. After being sued by the daughter of the CEO, the fanatical republic of terrorism would now have to face unexpected and harsh charges that are equally liable of these terrorists attacks.

Seeing the situation that has developed, the ICJ should rule this case by holding Country A liable and the Intelligence Bureau responsible for the terrorist's attacks. They should also account the murder of the CEO which was initially supported by the help of the Fanatical Republic of Terrorism. The way in which the ICJ should rule is by holding each of them accountable and sentencing them to a maximum of jail time along with the disabling there establishments and bureaus for a long time. Making an exemplary case out of those who were directly involved with the murder of the CEO and the attacks held throughout ten years would be an excellent start to justify their rule. The evidence gathered from George should be consciously evaluated.

1. Even though what can be stated as in the question can be identified as an accident by The S.S. EssoValdeez, but the destruction of aquatic life in the rich fishing area is a vast offense which their company would have to pay. Even though the country Sadly Aruba is identified as a poor developing country, it might be that these rich fishing areas might be one of the ways of generating their GDP and economic growth. After the dumping of crude oil, huge quantities of fish were killed and thus, from that, the EssoValdeezt got detained by their warship. Regardless of the lawsuit being pushed by EssoValdeezt for being improperly detained along with demanding a recovery for their damages, are demands which cannot be compiled by the court. As the pollution control regulation of the country states that the injury sustained to the wildlife must be paid in some of the substantial charges, the EssoValdeezt would have to oblige (Dupont, Ganesan, & Theodore, 2017).

However, the loss of the profits that were supposed to be earned by The S.S. EssoValdeez, only a proportion of that loss would be paid by the court due to their submission of their full compliance with the pollution control regulations. However, for the charges of being improperly detained to bring the barges alongside and pump off the oil in the tanker, the court would have to generate a simple warning to the company who sued but not the warship as it is their job to protect the coast and seas from any domestic or international harm. The EssoValdeez cannot be entirely successful with there charges against the warship and the damages they suffered but can be slightly compensated for their loss because of the compliance report that was provided as proof.
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