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My School Experience

School can be termed as both, either a nightmare or a sweet dream for children, I am one of the children for whom school was a nightmare, and that was because of Autism. The underlying principles and refrainment of the schools always horrified me but it was a nightmare until I became a part of that environment. My school experience is much similar to someone who was facing a deadlock towards the world; however, I did manage to get the support of my teachers.

When I got admission, I was so afraid due to many reasons. Firstly, I was facing serious issues in reading and speech communication. Functioning challenges and adhering to routines was also complex. Then, I met my classmates and it was all gone because I saw many students like me, but none of them were shy or afraid like me. I still remember my first day, which was assumed to be a horrible day. I was puzzled the whole way to school, but as soon as I entered the school, I was no longer feeling as though I am that different. Those welcoming faces and the embracing hands of my teachers are still one of the most cherished memories, I have had. My first day at school was like, I have stepped into another world that is present outside of my own, full of love, support, hope, and understanding. With the passage of time I tried building my strengths in the form of communication, speeches, and engagement in the class. The central role was played by my teachers who never made me feel that things are hard for me nor do I need to put in more effort. My teachers supported me, in fact, they always tried to do everything possible, in order to facilitate me. This support was not only emotional but it was intellectual and moral as well. During my time at school, I came to know that I’m nothing less than the other students. I made effective use of my abilities and competencies at school. I came to know that it all starts from within, where the external environment is just a motivation. My teachers shifted me from the gross ground to the height of success where I came to know that there were no blockages, inferiority or gaps, everything, and everyone is beautiful. It was the support of my teachers that today I am in 2 year of my college and I graduated from my school with good grades.

Hence, my high school experience is a real-life example of the quote that teachers are the pillars not only of the society, but of humans as well. I owe all of me progression and success to my high school teachers because they gave me a new life by bringing an end to the assumption-based claims of specialty and difference in children

**Outline**

**Introduction**

* I had a horrifying illusion about the high schools
* I was so terrified to go to school because I thought I would be the odd one out
* I was obsessed with my own incompetency.
* High acceptance of being special

**Discussion**

* The welcoming attitude of my teachers changed my life
* My teachers supported me like normal students
* They struggled and ensured a positive environment for me
* I made friends learned and realized that I’m similar to other students
* I am nothing less than others.
* My feelings of inferiority got mitigated
* Today I’m in the 2 years of my college

**Conclusion**

My experience at high school was turned into the most beautiful memories of my life

I had a wonderful time at my high school

I owe my success to the support of my teachers.

Gun control should be limited

**Introduction**

Today the world is more like a global village and this village is surrounded by all the evils that were placed in the pandora box. There is a continuous situation of conflict, political crisis, attacks, and personal threats. All these factors assert that man should have access to the resources that can ensure his safety. On the same platforms, are the people who think that all the conflicts and issues in this world are due to the fact that humans are given the chance to practice security attempts themselves and it has made them too free to be afraid of killing others. These statements are the representative of the two schools of thought, both proposing opposite dilogies. Gun controls is a two-folded topic, each with a thought-provoking assertion, which has made this issue unresolved even in the century of most advanced departments of knowledge, thinking and industrialization.

**Discussion**

Gun control is one of the most sensitive and controversial topics that is being discussed every now and then. Unfortunately, the aspects of this topic are too wide to collect, that every time this topic is left undiscussed and unanswered. In the present time, many of the people think that gun control should be limited. The underlying meaning of this statement is found in the lines of the US constitution, which says, “In order to form a perfect union of people, with established justice that can ensure domestic tranquility and provide common defense, the blessing of prosperity and liberty needs to be secured as it can bring prosperity” (Luca et al.). It is not just a quotation but a matter of fact that is presented as well as a prepared answer to everyone who seeks to get a clear understanding of guns and why their control should be limited.

In addition to this, critics think that it is not the people but the motivation to kill people that paves the way for violence. As per this logic, it is asserted that if there will be no guns there will be less shooting, taking into account that people will have to plan long rather than buy a gun and kill the person within 4 to 5 minutes. Although this claim is taken as something that promotes utilitarianism, other critics think that it is us who teach students to be violent and then they use gun to express their violence. The reality of this fact is seen in the form of the shooting drills that are given to the students at schools. Apparently, teachers and administrations use this drill as an activity but in reality, it is training students to express their aggression in a more violent way. It is questioned why an average citizen is in a need of gun when the government is making all the possible efforts to shape the security reform of the citizen. Every now and then, new security concerns arise and the government took significant steps to address them (Luca et al.).

It is also highlighted that the controversies arise when teenagers are seen with guns and weapons when they are not expected and they should not carry them. Here, it is also a question on the attention that the government gives to the people because if there will be significant security checks and accountability, students and youngsters might not have an access to guns this easily. In the continuation of this proposition, it is asserted that whenever someone is going to seek or get a gun the government should try to maintain a complete check and balance so that there are rare chances of misuse of the gun.

It is also highlighted that there should be identification card for those who buy weapons so that there is an increase in catching the culprits (Smith and Spiegler). The more utilitarian views highlighted that it is human errors of understanding in reliance on the weapons that have brought death closer to human beings, it is also seen in the form of ideas presented by researcher. according to the Desert News, it is announced that the Brady Campaign issued about 100 point scorecard ensured that imposition of background checks, permit the purchase of guns and a strict check and balance has reduced the rates of crimes because anyone who commits the crimes is sure of the punishment that he might be getting after being found as the criminal (Smith and Spiegler).

According to Gordon (2019) there is a dire need to make some technical efforts because technology and advancement has made it easy to get in touch with the weapons, in such a case, the government and industries should try to narrow down an industrialized approach toward gun control in the form of reduced size of the magazine and a reduced the ability of the gun to shoot far off places (Gordon et al.). In contrast, there are a lot of arguments that are proposed by the people and critic who think that there is no need of limiting gun control because it is necessity. The first argument is presented in reference to the US Constitution which affirms that “every human being in this world and in this country is responsible for his/own defense which ensures that someone should have the device or weapons of defense.” In addition, it is highlighted that critics have a strong belief in the philosophy that, “the only thing that can stop a bad guy with a gun is a good guy who is having a gun” (Sanjurjo). The stance of this anthology is reflected back to the idea that it is not the guns that killed people, in fact, it is the people who kill each other. If there is no intention of killing someone there is no need for having a weapon or having a weapon makes no difference.

Many of the critics believe that the misconception lies in the “mental conditions” because mass shooting at school is just the product of the mental disabilities that push someone to do this gruesome act (Smith and Spiegler). In addition, it is highlighted that limiting gun control will not make any difference because the one who wants to commit the crime will do it in any way and the intention is strong much like smugglers and drug traffickers (Gordon et al.). According to Smith and Spiegler (2020), historical data and statistics are encouraging and highlighting how guns are doing more harm than good to the people and the students alike, taking into account that when the gun control regulation was imposed in England, the crime rate increased up to 40%, taking into account that the incidence of armed robberies was also counted to 53%. The analysis of the time period from 1997 to 2001, the rates and the incidents of the crime are double than past where the people were six-time more at the risk of being robbed and defrauded at gunpoint (Sanjurjo, “Gun Securitization and Gun Control Policies”). According to Sanjurjo (2020) it is highlighted that gun control is something that makes people more extremist because it is the strong intuitions of rebelliousness that is the major source of all the crimes in this world.

Many of the utilitarian are of the view that every human being in this world has the right to practice its will and have free existence. This existence is also seen in the form of making self-related decisions and having a gun is one of those decisions, it is not acceptable to impose an illogical imposition of gun control because the public is trying to have access to weapons to protect their lives as the government has failed to ensure their life safety. One of the reasons for this fact is found in Taxes where everyone is allowed to carry weapons and there are much less crime rates because the criminal are aware and afraid of the reaction they have to face (Sanjurjo, “Gun Securitization and Gun Control Policies”). In addition it is highlighted that imposition of gun control measures is more like a ban on the passions and the choice of people because there are a lot of people who want to have gun for their aesthetic pleasure while other want to carry it because they are fond of hunting but government laws are more like capturing the minds and abilities of the people (Sanjurjo, “Gun Policy Processes and Interest Group Politics in Latin America”).

One of the critics Luca (2020) added human nature as a major factor as well, asserting that the thing that is far and out of reach is the most required and the same anthology is applied to the stance of keeping guns, the more students and youth are warned of having and keeping weapons, the more they will be attracted to it and it will behoove them to use unfair means to get their desires fulfilled. In addition, one of the studies in "Applied Economics" asserts that the assault weapons bans have no significant impact on the murder rates at a state level despite strong gun controls and it highlights how gun controls are just an illusion rather than reality (Sanjurjo, “Gun Securitization and Gun Control Policies”).

It is also important to note that gun control has paved the way for more “Assault Weapons" because it is not only an indirect motivation for more passionate people to do something which is not possible and not allowed to the other people. In addition, it is more like a threat and an infirmity for those who are habitual of freedom and who cannot adhere to the strict and narrowed down rules (Sanjurjo, “Gun Securitization and Gun Control Policies”).

According to Gordon (2020), it is highlighted that the gun control laws give too much power to the law-enforcing authorities and the government representative which is also something that is causing negative impacts on the society. In this case, a central role is played by the fact that the government might result in a tyranny and they are always seen snatching guns from the citizens no matter what is the solid reason behind (Sanjurjo, “Gun Policy Processes and Interest Group Politics in Latin America”).

in addition, an article promoted that the stance of gun control has brought a dominant and a prominent role to the government officers who misuse their powers to ensure adherence to the laws. It is evident that the causes of violence are more common in the police officers rather than the other people who are usually threatened and accused of carrying weapons. This fact is proven by the data collected from PEW research in which it is highlighted that about 57% of the people think that gun control measures have brought more issues to their lives. The reason is, they are just and obvious in their practices still, all the allegations and sometimes enforces allegation are associated with them. Such groups have failed to prove their righteousness, resulting in imprisonment (Sanjurjo, “Gun Policy Processes and Interest Group Politics in Latin America”).

In addition, Alpers (2019) promoted that there is a lot less people who are killed by guns, in fact, a majority of people are seen to be targeted by the many other means such as poisons, accidents etc. (Alpers). however, the crux is, gun control measures incorporated by the government has never provided the required results and it is important to consider because people should believe in the fact if it is proven, there is no point in believing in the hunch-based ideas. Alpers (2019) highlighted that gun control measures have proven to be racist. Usually, the administrators and the government authorities are focusing more on the communities that are living in the inner cities, slums or the poor communities who are easy to prey and they have to go through difficult means to get justice (Alpers). This fact is proven by the LaSalle University as well, in which it is highlighted that white people are less arrested as compared to the Blacks, poor and the uneducated communities (Alpers).

**Conclusion**

Taking into account the arguments that are proposed by both those who supported, in favor and against, a critical insight highlights that there is a dire need to revise both the grounds because both the sides have some significant gaps. government is taking the responsibility then the citizens should be freed from the fear of being victimized and targeted by any kind of violence and the measures for limiting gun control should seem to be working rather than the code that are stored in the books of history and counted in facts and figures. On the other hand, the government should be representative of the public they should make policies which are applicable and acceptable for a layman without any complication, taking into account that government should make effort that can leave a positive impact on the public rather than making them more aggressive about the government. So, it is concluded that both government and policymakers should take the issues of “gun control” as a topic that can be well justified and well explained.

**Outline**

**Introduction**

Gun control is one of the most controversial topics, where some of the critics and researchers think that gun control should be limited while others do not agree.

**Discussion**

* Critics think that gun control should be limited because the government has taken the responsibility of life, affirmed by the US Constitution.
* Then, people say that gun control should be limited because it is guns that kill people, if there will be no guns, there will be no violence.
* Others think that gun control should be limited because it is us who are proving it in the form go shooting drills ad weapon practices in order to catch up trend but end by risking our own lives.
* Then, guns should be limited because the government is making misuse of their responsibilities and rights, also such actions have proved to be racist.
* In contrast, many people think that guns should not be controlled because it has reduced the crime rates, as people are sure of the punishment
* Guns should not be limited as it needs to weapon assault
* Guns should not be limited because everyone has the right to live and protect itself
* Also, guns should not be limited because it kills passion such as that of hunting

**Conclusion**

Gun control has two dimensions, each with a strong logic, where there is no single way out.
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