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**Part 1**

The probation period and the requirement to maintain the situation of probation period in any of the services which are linked towards the judicial branch is the requirement by the rule of law and official policies of the governmental policies. This is required because, in such delicate issues, the credibility of a person can never be determined within the blink of an eye. It needs time, and over time, the things get clarified to the person about the rules and regulations of the company as well as the rules which are meant to be followed religiously by all the members of the society.

Similarly, the situation can be contagious and harmful in the other aspect when it comes to the organization. The organization can never trust any new member in the legal team when it comes to sensitive issues. The direct relationship with the sensitive issues can never be allowed to a new person who has joined the judicial branch as for that the testing and the trial services are not assured to the person. This is not at all an easy task to cater all such scenarios and once they have to go through the pressure cooker of the life and practical life as it is not at all an easy task to give a heavy responsibility on the newcomers.

The other factor for which the requirement of the judicial probation is required because of the inexperience issues (Matz,2017). The new person can never ever get an opportunity to get into the sensitive matters directly after getting into the job as for this they need time, and with the time and trial and the testing period, a person becomes capable of getting a high position for which there is a requirement of the probation period services.

**Part 2**

There was a lot of criticism faced by the people on following The PSI report throughout their career. The origin of this report was started in the mid of 1840s when the stories were given to the criminal in order to avoid the situation of the crime prevention, and presentence investigation reports were provided on the basis of the early crime reports were given. These sentences were of various types that can be on the basis of accusation towards the criminals.

There was a lot of criticism faced on this report that was initially passed by the people who were in the governing bodies. The reason for this criticism was to abolish the pre-assumptions on the initial verdict found through the suspects and the initial evidence. This evidence was half of the time vague and half of the time they were on the basis of the sentences given by the people who were the eyewitnesses and they have seen. On finding the majority of the reports, it was found that there were many cases which were finished and many of the uncaused people have seen the issues and faced severe penalties for life. On the other hand, only a few were the criminals that were really the convicts (Lowry, 2017).

The people faced the other issue which was erupted, and many arguments following PSI was the offense reports which were published. These reports and their publishing was the issue for which the people were unable to follow all these circumstances, and they have to face the rule of law. The provisions of law and the judiciary have proved to be strict with the passage of time, and now while catering the old reports, it was found that these reports were not as authentic. So in order to get the proper results more facilities and adequate results can be obtained.
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