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Climate skeptic

Climate skeptics are deniers of the scientific evidence presented for proving that human activities have the cause of climate change. The central argument made by skeptics is that humans have no role in controlling the environment and emphasize on the natural reasons. They also claim that there is no such thing as global warming because the earth has always warmed and cooled. Human attribution to this warming is minimal that even if they control it, no significant improvement will be made. The arguments made by the opponents of global warming depicts that they are only using their skepticism for building their point but do not offer adequate evidence. Skeptics are essentially people who have rejected to accept the scientific proof on the involvement of human beings in global warming (Hartz). They say that humans have no role in global warming because there are other more strong factors that cannot be controlled.

Whatever happened to the climate is due to natural reasons so it is not appropriate to blame the people. Numerous factors have been the cause of temperature rise such as the growth of microscopic creatures and events on the sun. Subtle interaction of these factors with the earth has essentially contributed to climate change (Huntingford and Friedlingstein). The supporters of global warming on contrary puts the blame on humans. They argue that the significant rise in temperature is due to human activities. However evidence reveals that rise in temperature started al least 800 years earlier when arctic temperature rose due to glacier termination. This evidence according to skeptics is adequate for proving that blaming humans is inappropriate for the high level of CO2 release. The argument also states that 100,000 years ago this rise in the temperature was not linked to global warming (Real). Skeptics also claim that warming ha taken 500 years for completion and the results became more visible with time. Skeptics claims that blaming humans for global warming is illogical. They state, “changes in the amount of summer sunshine, due to changes in the Earth’s orbit around the sun that happen every 21,000 years” (Real). The natural causes cannot be neglected as they present a realistic facts behind climate change.

The social pressure laid on the humans for controlling their negative activities is unjustified. Climate skeptics claims that environmentalists are blaming humans for the last two decades. They are trying to build consciousness through education campaigns and protests against deteriorating human activities. However they ignore that natural factors cannot be controlled which have broader impact on the climate. Even if human beings cut their consumption of fossil fuel or fewer trees are cut by the owners still temperature would rise (APA). There were no vehicles or microwaves 800 years ago but the temperature was still rising at that time. If human activities are the only cause of this dramatic climate change then why significant rise in levels of carbon dioxide and temperature are noted in those times. Scientists have failed to prove the link of climate change with destructive human activities (Huntingford and Friedlingstein).

Mistrust towards scientific evidence or limitations in research findings cause skeptics to become climate change deniers. They continue to deny the facts which show their lack of trust on scientists. Another argument of the skeptics states that the rise in level of CO2 does not tell about global warming. Although temperature of the climate is rising but no scientific evidence could prove that it reflects global warming (Page). This is also the reason for the failure of international campaigns, protests and summits on global warming (Hartz). Environmentalists have started several social movements for educating the people about the reasons behind climate change and attempt to persuade the audience to take initiative for saving planet. Environmentalists have thus put unnecessary pressures on the humans by blaming them for this drastic change. People who are skeptic have denied these claims by saying them environmentalists have not proved their argument. In the march these protectants raise the argument that there should be no cutting of trees or release of CO2. They don’t present solutions for dealing with the natural factors because it is not possible. Many people are wanting to find the real causes behind climate change but unnecessary emphasis on human activities has resulted in their confusion (Huntingford and Friedlingstein).

The sole purpose of skeptics is to deny the argument raised by the environmentalists about human participation in global warming and climate change. There are more important and influential factors that they have neglected (Page). To prove the connection it is equally important to explain how cutting consumption of fossil fuels or electricity consumption or industrial waste will remove the carbon dioxide that is adequate for controlling climate change. There is uncertainty because no significant evidence has justified this argument.

I had chosen the issue of climate skeptic because it is difficult to understand what is the truth behind climate change. Two groups are debating to prove different views on this change. I therefore wanted to determine which view is more valid. To understand the reality of climate skeptics and denial I performed a climate change experiment in the lab. The goal of the experiment was to understand how carbon dioxide emission leads to global warming. I conducted a research and found global climate records. The databases are adequate for proving that the release of carbon emission is directly linked to global warming. The activity involved interpreting of the datasets and examining global change over human time scale. For this experiment I considered the NASA report on global warming and climate change. NASA claims on the basis of scientific evidence that most of the global warming occurred during the last 35 years. The findings of dataset reveals, “he planet's average surface temperature has risen about 1.62 degrees Fahrenheit (0.9 degrees Celsius) since the late 19th century” (Huntingford and Friedlingstein). Credible sources are evaluated for identifying the reality of the relationship between human activities and global warming. This brings me to a state of concluding that changes in human activities such as transformation to industrial society remains the dominant reason behind climate change. The results were not surprising because I already had the idea that human role has been the central cause behind global warming. Through my experience I learned that climate skeptic are arguing that climate change is not due to human practices but they are unable to prove it.

The argument of climate skeptics has opened two broader perspectives that creates chaos for the people. It is difficult to understand which view is valid and is it practical to control the activities that are linked to high CO2 generations. Climate skeptics are known as deniers because they reject the claim of environmentalists that human activities leads to global warming. They build their argument on the facts that temperature had been rising even 800 years earlier. The role of the climate protection campaigns and protests have been vague because they have not proved that eliminating human activities will remove global warming. This is also easy to justify because human activities have minor role in generation of carbon dioxide.
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