Your Name

Instructor Name

Course Number

Date

Week 5: Reflection

 The particular case of District of Columbia v. Heller is the detailed consideration of the Second Amendment in the constitution of the country. The court decision assists to figure out the actual interpretation of the Second Amendment. Deep understanding of this case helps me to consider that in the case of District of Columbia v. Heller, the defender’s version of the facts is strong and true as compared to the facts presented by the government. The final verdict by the court also guides me to believe that the Heller’s facts were more realistic considering the different dynamics of the Second Amendments.

 It is revealed that the special police office Heller applied for the registration certificate for a handgun in the home. This particular application was denied by the city of Washington D.C. under the regulation. Heller challenged this specific decision of the government by initiating a case in the court. The facts presented by the Heller were strong enough to consider the application of the Second Amendment in the constitution. The main purpose of the amendment revealed that the actual motive of this particular constitutional development was to properly ensure the creation of future militia. Undoubtedly, it is important to consider specific aspects and circumstances associated with the application of the law.

 The domain of facts provided by the defender assisted the court to interpret different domains of the Second Amendment in the constitution. It is established by the court that it is important for citizens to fall into the particular categories to allow someone for having a firearm under specific conditions of safety and self-regulation. There was a strong verdict that there is need of considering the reference of the militia as a prefatory clause that is connected with the operative clause of the Amendment. The interpretation of the operative clause ensures the right of the individuals to possess weapons for the safety purpose.