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**Introduction**

In the U.S., disputes on gun control laws lead public policy and political debate. The debate aggravates whenever there is a mass-shooting incident reported by the media. In terms of overall incidents, 2018 is considered to be one of the worst in many decades as incidents of gun-violence continue to claim thousands of lives annually. Many states have introduced stricter gun control laws yet continue to witness rising incidents. My paper will explore the debate on gun control and analyze the arguments and evidence from various sides, to discuss whether it is stricter gun control laws or partly permitting concealed carry weapons that would deter violent incidents and prevent greater damage.

**Outline**

* Importance and Significance of the issue
	+ Provide recent Statistics on gun violence (Lartey).
	+ Comparison with other developed countries (Mcmillan).
	+ Point to the inefficacy of current gun control strategy
	+ Public Support (IPSOS)
	+ State the complex nature of the constitutional and political debate surrounding it. (Kamal and Burton)
* List all the arguments used to advocate for stricter gun control laws and policies (Webster, Donohue, and Klarevas)
	+ Inherent lethal and destructive nature of guns (Wuest)
	+ Tendency of young people to engage in irresponsible or aggressive behavior
	+ Guns have the potential to escalate a mildly-aggressive situation
	+ Guns do not deter incidents of gun violence or reduce their impact
	+ In public hands, it is a cause for fear and distraction, with no foreseeable benefits
	+ A depressed or distressed individual could snap and go on a killing spree
	+ Women who own guns still more likely to be victims
	+ Pepper sprays and non-lethal weapons are sufficient for Self-defense
	+ Professionals hired for security are sufficient
* Addressing the arguments advocating stricter gun control from self-defense and safety perspective
	+ Guns provide its owners an assurance of safety and security
	+ Safety against common acts of crime such as burglary or theft.
	+ People’s right and need to seek protection and self-defense through weapons (Flapping)
	+ States that permit carrying weapons have reduced homicides and crime (Lott)
	+ States with minimal gun control laws fare better in gun violence stats (Lewis)
* Mention ways in which lax gun control laws and permitting carrying weapons reduce gun violence
	+ Ability in ordinary citizens to deter violent incidents
	+ Converts potential victims into those that can prevent violence
	+ Perpetrators would not engage due to deterrence effect
	+ Reduced likelihood to target defenseless victims
	+ Police response time after distress call not usually enough to prevent incident (National Threat Assessment Center).
	+ Not practical to have airport grade professional security at different public places
* Present evidence to support position in favor of less restrictive gun control laws
	+ No data to suggest that responsible gun ownership leads to violence (Kessler)
	+ Implausibility of a sane, well-adjusted person does not ‘snap’ (National Threat Assessment Center)
	+ Responsible owners less likely to be involved in murder (Sturdevant and Navasota).
	+ Majority incidents end before law enforcement arrives (National Threat Assessment Center)
	+ Gun Control without addressing social determinants of crime will still lead to gun violence (Mauser)
* Discuss certain Lax Gun Control laws with conceivable benefits and ensure responsible ownership
	+ Increase age to obtain license to 21
	+ Limit license to those with history of controlled substance or alcohol abuse
	+ Mental health and background checks from local investigators, along with support for mental health programs (Wolf and Rosen)
	+ Owner to have demonstrated ability of handling firearms
	+ Allowing family members or care providers to confiscate weapon upon perceiving threat of harm
	+ Bring in lax but uniform gun control laws (Coates and Pearson-Merkowitzz)
	+ Allow one-handed weapons and concealed carrying only in educational institutions and similar public places
	+ Restrict large capacity ammunition
* Conclusion
	+ Deterrence value of firearms and safety are important factors
	+ Without addressing determinants and influencers of crime, gun control alone is not the solution
	+ Allowing ownership with certain restrictions, such as mental health and background checks seems viable
	+ Focus more on responsible carrying instead of tightening gun control laws to be more beneficial
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