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 Political Science: The Impeachment clause

Pritchett, Charles Herman. *The American Constitution*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1977.

 The constitution of the United States of America is the primary source that is used for the understanding of legal issues relating to it. The book details all the laws that are implemented on a federal level in the United States of America. The impeachment clause is mentioned in the constitution in section 4 of the constitution and can only be interpreted once we go through the article as a whole. The procedural details regarding the issue can also be fully grasped once the use of primary materials is done properly. In essence, the constitution of the United States of America gives the Congress the right to impeach a sitting president, the vice president and all the higher officers of the government on accounts of treason, bribery, and other such high misdemeanor-based crimes. This book gives you a first-hand reading of the clause and lets you make your own mind up on how the clause should be implemented.

Wolfe, James, and Heather Moehn. *Understanding the US Constitution*.

 It is a commentary on the constitution of the United States of America from the distinguished authors. The commentators aim to provide a basic understanding of the constitution and how it is generally being implemented across the states. The book can be used by the lay man to get a grip on how the constitution is being implemented across the states. In order to understand the impeachment clause, this book can be relevant as it explains complex issues without the use of heavily loaded jargon. Most of the laws of the constitution of the United States are generally very difficult to grasp without the presence of qualified attorneys but this book attempts to provide a commonplace account of how the American constitution will look like or should like when implemented. Historical precedence is also discussed for different laws as implemented in the past.

"Trump Impeachment Trial Live Coverage: Senators Ask Final Questions Before Critical Vote on Witnesses." *NBC News*. N.p., 2020. Web. 31 Jan. 2020.

 The impeachment trial of Donald Trump is one of the most talked about things in the current affairs of United States politics. The interpretation of the constitution has been in question from the get-go. This article highlights one of the most important steps that were undertaken while impeachment inquiry was being initiated. Before the initiation of the vote, witnesses were called to testify to set the facts of the trial straight. The details of that procedure are documented in this article which helps the common man understand the complexity of the issue. This article details the proceedings of the hearing and how the proceeding of the testimony went when the impeachment process was initiated. The voting process in the senate that had to be done in order to move forwards with the impeachment was also detailed in this article.

Tillman, Seth Barrett. "Killing Two Constitutional Birds with One Linguistic Stone: Tricky Resignation and the 'Poorly Drafted' Ineligibility Clause and Impeachment Clause." *SSRN Electronic Journal* (2009):

 This article discusses the flaws that were seen during the drafting procedure of the impeachment clause. The procedural elements of the impeachment and ineligibility clause are critiqued from a logical as well as an implementation perspective. It is a good article if one needs to understand the details of what an impeachment trial is supposed to look like and what is still missing in the procedure. A good understanding can be developed if one gives this article a good read. This article is written from the viewpoint of being critical. The different aspects of the clause that can be improved over time are also mentioned in detail in the article which makes it much more relevant in the context of this decade.

"Impeachment Clause: A Wild Card In The Constitution Howard J. Trienens Visiting Scholar Program 85 Northwestern University Law Review 1990-1991." *Heinonline.org*. N.p., 2020. Web. 31 Jan. 2020.

 This article provides a detailed and an easy way to understand discussion on the topic of impeachment and how a scholar understands the complexities in the clause as well as the implementation of the article. This explanation can also be considered as authoritative as it is coming from a scholar in the field. The procedural elements that need to be understood during the process of research are very carefully articulated in this article and the grey areas are also very well highlighted. This article also defines the subjective parts of the clause and the points in which legislation is due in the future for further clarity. It is, in essence a commentary on the clause and is much more opinionated. Since the opinion presented is of qualified individuals thus the article becomes much more of an authentic source of information. The writer suggests that there are conceptual flaws and that the law needs to be rethought and this idea makes it relevant to the present situation.