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**The moon**

According to Arrow and Nelson organizational approach tackles societal issues from a different point of view. Arrow viewed the method as a means of establishing stability in society through collective actions. On the other hand, Nelson observed the organizational approach as an uneven distribution of know-how (Alzugaray, Mederos & Sutz, 2012). However, the initial plan here will majorly elaborate on Arrow’s viewpoint, try to compare it with that Nelson’s basing the argument about the same matter.

In the article *the limits of organization*, Arrow viewed the organizational approach as a useful tool and a means through which the benefits of collective actions are achievable via the balance between authority and responsibility (pgs. 16,). Also, he argued that practically points about what is seen as no man is an island demonstrates that benefits of collective action are direct results of interpersonal relationship. But the latter has to seek for a yield of mutual improvements (pg. 18). For instance, Arrow elaborated further through an illustration of circumstances surrounding the collapsing of the price system.

Furthermore, Arrow argued that organizations such as the government and private firms can handle the unreliability of the price system (Alzugaray, Mederos & Sutz, 2012). He contended that these institutions, are capable of providing the best platform for individuals competing for scarce resources and impartially distributing resources to everyone in an equitable manner. The latter implies that Arrow in a broader sense from a personal perception established a parallel about social safety to protect society through the provision of subsidiaries services to prevent poverty.

Besides, Arrow attempted to further expound on his view of how organizational intervention in social conflict is suitable in providing individuals a sense worth. On the process, he mentioned some approaches that had different perception about the same subject. For instance, he talked about the “New Right” libertarian view that claimed individuals would be at liberty and prosperous if government lessen its intervention in the economic decisions of individuals. The latter implies that Arrow though this policy approach was extreme. He stated that minimization of government’s intervention in social conflict could exacerbate social problems because the financial positions of individuals in society fluctuates between good and bad. According to the "New Left" view, which talked about a perfect society with the absence of conflict between one's demand on oneself and one's responses to the requests of the community, Arrow partially agreed with this position nevertheless expressed reservations.

Convincingly, Arrow's viewpoint of organizational approach supports social system such as government and firms’ intervention into social conflicts in other to regulate competition and direct the allocation of scarce resources amongst competing forces (pg. 16). He also pointed out that collective action is the most appropriate way of providing support to people to stay economically afloat to have found a mean of fulfilling and realizing their efforts (pg. 16).

**The Moon and the Ghetto**

In the article *The Moon and The Ghetto,* Nelson viewed the organizational approach as being stratifying and uneven in handling social issues. He mentioned that influential and powerful power brokers in government or organizations determine ways to use when dealing with development programs in society (pg.14). According to Nelson about this issues, he thinks that when it comes to addressing simple social problems in the organization, unlike Arrow's perspective of collective action, the organizational approach mainly suffers from hesitation. He also argued that it selects and unevenly addresses societal projects from a self-interested and narrow perspective. Nelson noted that the skewness of decisions in tackling social problems is politically motivated. As such, Nelson's central viewpoint about the organizational approach is to pinpoint causes why there are uneven approaches to progress that occurred. Besides, this point on how can a workable strategy can be implemented in motion to address issues that have adverse effects on the process of uneven distribution know-how.

**To what extent are issues related to sustainable development organizational?**

To a greater extent, sustainable development issues are related to organization attachment as such; they cannot be separated for one another. For instance, an organization such as the government has the moral and legal responsibility to intercede in societal issues (Mazzucato, 2018). These issues have direct references to sustainable development in the society that can serve as check and balance and as a conduit for ecological sustainability and ensure equitable distribution of resources. Sustainable development and organizational issues are closely related. Therefore, it is possible to agree with Ophulus’ argument about the tragedy of the commons. Ophulus stipulated that environmental problems which are related to sustainable development is challenging to solve through cooperation but the rationale for government with coercive authority (Ostrom, pg. 5). In light of Ophulus’ statement, if individuals in society are allowed access to environmental resources freely, there will be overused of the eventually may lead to scarcity of those resources.

Intrinsically, the future generations may not have the opportunity to benefit from any public resources everyone is allowed to use those resources without control of use. In this light, this is where organizational significance is interrelated with sustainable development. However, according to Arrow's point of view about collective action, which he claimed is an essential way of ascending to power through which individuals can obtain the extension of the domain of individual rationality. Herein, the maintenance of sustainable development should be a reality; it should have some organizational attachment to prioritize most properly.
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