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Humanitarian Intervention

Today, humanitarian intervention is recognized as one of the key aspects of the political scenario at the international level. The research article, “humanitarian intervention; when is force justified?” by Stephen J. Solarz and Michael E. O’ Hanlon focused the appropriate grounds for the practice of humanitarian intervention. Undoubtedly, it is crucial to figure out that when it is essential for powerful countries such as America to ensure its involvement at the global level in the form of humanitarian intervention. Correct justification of the active involvement at country level is critical to attaining better outcomes in the end. The main argument presented by researchers in this piece of research work is that when it comes to the massive loss of life at global level, then the intervention of the United States in the form of the military domain is inevitable. It is vital to assess the researchers’ argument considering the actual reality of the international world. Undoubtedly, there is a need for active cooperation of powerful countries when it comes to proper handling of the massive problems of the world. The main argument raised by authors in this article is that should it essential for the United States to ensure its forcible humanitarian involvement in case of saving human lives. It is essential to understand that this particular prospect is linked with the objective that the interests of the United States can never be at stake due to humanitarian intervention.

The argument against humanitarian intervention is explicitly mentioned by the researchers that eventually helps to understand different dimensions of this particular issue. It is illustrated that the phenomenon of military intervention ultimately questions domestic sovereignty. The particular argument is rightly presented by the researchers that forcible U.S. military intervention is one mandatory approach when there is an actual need for humanitarian involvement to save lives at a global level. This particular argument can further extend by ensuring the critical consideration of the specific situation. It is essential for the policy makers to assess the entire situation and ensure that the feature of humanitarian intervention never risks the stability of the United States. Identification of the actual form of humanitarian intervention is essential to determine the actual justification of this specific practical approach. Identification of the entire context is essential to measure all the opportunities and risk factors that involve in case of forcible humanitarian approach at the global level. When it comes to the approach of humanitarian intervention then the element of human rights can never be ignored. Powerful countries such as the United States needs to make its decision about military humanitarian considering the risk of genocide at a global level.

When there is evidence of genocide in any region of the world then the option of forcible humanitarian intervention is a vital to form of consideration. It is rightly argued by the researchers that it is essential for the decision makers to evaluate the actual requirements of the humanitarian intervention by the United States. The greatest level of violence in any region should not be the only reason for the U.S. to simple involves into forcible humanitarian intervention. The national interest of the country should not be at the risk in case of practical measures against the issue of genocide at a global level. Consideration of the country’s interest is also crucial to attaining the better forms of sustainability at the global level. Moderate involvement of the forcible humanitarian intervention is essential for the United States as the powerful country to handle the situation of genocide. Measurement of the actual cost of the attempt of humanitarian intervention is critical for the country to justify its decision about the forcible involvement in other countries to save lives.