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Discussion

I like the post of Yared because he has defined the PICO for determining the Central-line Associated Bloodstream Infections (CLABSIs). This is an effective tool for determining how disease can be controlled by taking appropriate steps. I agree that PICO is an effective method for studying the disease and identifying relevance causes. The search strategy used by Yared is effective. I agree that entering the relevant research terms in the database is an effective way for findings required research articles and journals. It is important for the healthcare providers to identify the databases that provide access to different journals related to the medical researches (Chocrane, 2000). I think that Yared has chosen reliable databases such as Medline, PubMed, CINAHL and Cochrane. This according to me is one of the most important steps in finding the credible research articles.

I agree that selection of the sources impacts the nursing practice and quality of care. It is important for the student nurses to develop adequate skills for conducting research. They will need this skill in professional lives when they will have to find causes and solutions of a specific disease (Bastian et al., 2010). I also think that the overall performance of the nurses will improve by applying methods of research. I agree with Yared that learning the technique of forming PICO questions will also improve the quality of research (Thomas et al., 2011). This will give them clear idea of what nurses have to search. This is also a time saving activity. By constructing PICO questions it is possible to find relevant research articles and sources. By learning techniques of conducting research it is possible to retrieve crucial information related to the patient safety. I think this practice can be improved if hospitals invest in building research skills.
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