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Dear:

I am writing this letter with great pleasure to applaud your significant efforts in the health industry. This complexity of health industry often becomes a conundrum for new entrants like me who are about to dive in this deep venture. Nevertheless, I get highly driven by your research articles and findings in the medical field. Similarly, I have gone through your featured article "Improving Knowledge, Comfort, and Confidence of Nurses Providing End-of-Life Care in the Hospital Setting Through Use of the CARES Tools "in detail. Beyond a shadow of a doubt, this research article is an important piece of writing as it clarified the significance of CARES tools and Final Journey related to end of life in the nursing profession.

However, I believe that this article is quite limited in nature as it took only eleven nurses in a private hospital and even among those eleven nurses, two did not participate for some reasons. This sample space is limited for the effectiveness of any study. The article should have been more diverse and a greater number of nurses in other wards should have been a part of these findings. This current sample space is easy to manipulate and this is the reason that most medical researchers are getting defective as the European Journal of Clinical investigation illustrates it.

In this study, the variables under consideration are the CARES tools, End of life experiences, knowledge, confidence, and the comfort of the nurses, and the Final Journey. It is no blinking the fact that all these variables were investigated by surveys, interviews and different questionnaires. All these methods have an inherent sense of subjectivity which is obvious. This subjectivity and bias have become the reason of flawed medical processes and this thesis is elaborated in the Medscape Journal where chief health care practitioners view that medical research is getting misleading, and it needs to be fixed.

Therefore, it is utmost necessary to make medical researches and findings as objective as it is possible. These medical findings and researches are often the rationale for future health policies, so one needs to be objective and diverse in nature and purpose. Besides, the sample space has to cater all and sundry to accommodate all varied concerns.

I hope that you will give serious consideration to my response to your work and will make it even more enlightening in the future.

Regards,

Midiala Suarez