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Collaboration and peer review process are very helpful in learning and developing a good understanding of the work or studies. They enable employees to complete tasks timely and efficiently. In the process of peer review, a person’s work is analyzed and scrutinized by the experts of the same field which improves the quality of the work. My comfort level with peer review and collaboration is very high because, in this way, motivation and passion for the work increase manifolds. When one’s task is peer-reviewed, the objections and gaps are identified and help in avoiding them in future

I have collaborated with my university fellows for raising funds for cancer patients. For this purpose, we raised awareness related to cancer and need of monetary resources among campus students. For this purpose, we collaborated with each other and shred duties including raising awareness, collecting funds and interacting with best hospitals in the area in this regard. My experience with this team and collaboration was very good and enabled us to work with more dedication and passion.

There is a difference between peer review collaboration and teamwork. In teamwork collaboration, individuals work in a team to achieve a specific goal usually overseen by a leader. The peer-review collaboration is different because in this collaboration, people specialized in a domain work together and interact as equals to make decisions without a leader(*Understanding the Differences Between Teamwork and Collaboration*, 2018).

For peer review collaboration, my goals are to take part in more and more collaborative tasks to enhance the chances of completing tasks in time by putting in more efforts. Also, I am looking forward to becoming a more constructive and effective peer reviewer. A good peer reviewer always tries to understand the main idea and identify strengths and weaknesses with the intention to help rather than just pinpointing the mistakes (Jeffrey, 2016). A peer reviewer must try to give compliments too along with criticism and if a task is confusing, one must ask the author or concerned person for clarification.
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