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**Introduction**

In the context of history, there are specific rules devised during the British era, where many Commonwealth countries used the legislative authority for the proper exercise of power. It was not limited to lawmaking instead of the check and balance over the functions of executive for its progressive and practical functioning. Same is the case with Canada where it lacks the constitutional documents which lead to the development of phrase, ‘Peace, Order, and Good Governance.' The slogan became the source and mechanism of power between the provincial and federal government. There are various interpretations, and over the 20th century, it explained the limits of central authority. Same was the concept which resulted in the issue of national identity and the beliefs for a peaceful kingdom, which implies that Canada would be a safer, gentler and sustainable country.

**Discussion**

**Case Study Summary**

Order, peace and the idea of good governance are inter-related with each other. These cannot be separated in any language and constitution of the world. Canada in this regard is supporting the basic rules for the distribution of power among the state organs. Fair explanation and technicality are divided among various holders of power[[1]](#footnote-1). One of the significant aspects of this line or order and peace is the acceptance of a legislative authority over the other state organs. These three words are used in the British North America Act of 1867, to explain the control of parliament professionally. There are generally the tensions that occurred among the members of provincial and federal legislative authority for the scope of power distribution and the liberty of people.

The phrase is not only limited to the Canadian state; instead, it is implied by other state acts of Australia, South Africa, and New Zealand. With the first proclamation of British Columbia in 1858, the concept appeared in Canada. Right from the time of its appearance, it became an integral part of the business that is running among the various organs and departments of Canada. For the success of any nation, it is essential that they should have distributed their power-sharing for the smooth running of the state work[[2]](#footnote-2). The disruption and multi-power sharing power restrict the progress of a country.

Father of the confederation had provided the way for the creation of a system where one can use the jurisdiction over the national affairs. Disseminating the responsibility among the provinces and federation, it was mentioned that local affairs would deal by the regions, while alliance will have the jurisdiction for state issues. All the laws that are intended to make for peace and prosperity would be implemented and passed by the Government of Canada under the guidance and superior minds of an elected assembly.

In short terms, these would be defined by the parliament both by the lower and upper house of the organization. The committees of Parliament direct the proper use of resources, channels, and institution of Parliament. In the same way, the authority under the federation was interpreted as concerned with the four major branches of the judiciary, and those come outside the provincial and federal jurisdiction. A national concern, emergency, and the residual issues are pertaining under the description provided by the confederation.

**Major Issues**

Things were not so simple because all the powers cannot be given to the Parliament because of the legality and the people who are running it. The distribution of power is itself a difficult task which is mounted by various regions and elements of the state. Even the contemporary era is full of all the debates about capabilities of the parliament and those which are not in line with it. In the same way, military dictators along with the eras of war have constituted their basis for defining the concept of power. What is written in the confederation was very easy to read and to write[[3]](#footnote-3). When it comes to the implementation of control which is taken by the parliament and the oversight of executive over its function, it seems something impossible. The concept of good governance cannot materialize unless the system of accountability is to strengthen and supported by the majority. There were many issues faced by the parliament and elected members, regarding the power which can be part of active delivery service for the masses.

Canada is progressing with every day of strengthening the oversight of parliament on the other organs. However specific barriers in the proper implementation and accessing to other agencies are creating significant problems. At present, it is a useful part of a world community and playing its role for human rights and gender equality because of the strong legislature and balance in the sharing of power. Other crises became part of the state like the strong coalition among the parties and those which are part of the government. The multi-party coalition creates severe tensions among the people and especially for the state to run its business reasonably. Combined parties forced the smaller to join them, but all of them are not delivering effectively for the peace, order, and progress of Canada.

**Review of Pros and Cons**

There are various opinions for the effective parliament and the system that could run it. The confederation designed what was not followed correctly. However, the power or the system that strive for peace and order should go through certain norms and values. There must be a respect for the majority and those who have more confidence in a nation than the one who has only perception and power. Parliamentary form of government has a positive sign, and it is good for any state. Bundle of examples identifies the success and economic progress of countries having strong parliament[[4]](#footnote-4). Decisions of a majority and collective wisdom is beneficial than the person who came to power directly. The second significant benefit of the parliamentary system which is defined by the confederation is the sense of accountability and confidence put by the people on their representatives.

It became legal for members to use their better sense for the well-being of their nation. The violation of a law is also protected, and it creates a bridge between the other organs for ending their differences and serve the people collectively. However, various points required for the revisiting of a parliamentary form of government and the nature of parliament. The negative signs are supported by the fact that parties mostly fought for their rights and always tried to save the interest instead of dealing and affairs of the nation. A process is also slow, and decisions about the major issues are generally late. There is an intense need for reading, debating and excluding the points during the sessions of Senate and lower house.

There is no doubt that parliament is superior in the sense of representing people choices and needs but it is also a fact that it became a house for those who have specific personal interests and they prefer to save them accordingly. Most of the cases which are referred to parliament for decision or any purpose lacks implementation and timely move to achieve its target. The uneducated and non-experience people while running the committees and affairs related to different dealing fail to deliver. They also have a lack of power for reaching over any decision. With certain improvements and the facilities provided by other organs of the state, parliament can play a useful role[[5]](#footnote-5). The reformations are essential like the one which was held by British models and the Westminster system. English tradition had a century of democracy that opens the lines for strengthening and exploring the institutions. The adoption of a constitution is also well supported by the principle that is derived from the United Kingdom. It can only work when given due importance and not to use it for the fulfillment of private interests.

Contrary to this, the presidential form of government is operating in the United States which is also successful, and dozens of presidents have performed their duties according to the responsibilities underlined in their constitution[[6]](#footnote-6). The problem is not with the system; it is with the key agents who are playing around and giving their positive and negative services. Canada has various opportunities to serve and enjoy the liberties that are provided by the parliamentary system, but there would be the need for the early reformation to align it with best wishes of people. Only the services and performance can lead to failure and success of operations that are implying under the democratic norms and values.

**My Position on the Good Government in Canada**

Political parties and the key stakeholders of good governance in Canada are much better than the progress and success maintain by other neighboring nation. Even the countries are striving for the sustainability and smooth running of democracy which is already achieved by Canada. However, there would require some measure and reform process that could enlarge the values for protection of human rights including the minorities and those who are living in the country with a foreign passport. More than anything, the educational practices and initiatives taken by the government is a good step for strengthening the organs of state. People will accept any change that is considered legal only after they are sure about the understanding of a particular system. Only the education can provide them the insight requires for the democratization of norms and values.

The traditional views of dictatorship and a one-person rule are no more alive in the contemporary era of economic war. For winning this war, everyone in Canada will have to pay their role positively. Otherwise, the system can fail during any of international pressure or the insurgency that can develop over time. The guideline of the Westminster model and the confederation principle are fundamental to follow because the British parliament is said to be the mother of all the democracies in the world. One of the significant fact that can strengthen the existing system of governance is the fair use of people's resources and protection of rights in a professional way. A maximum level of satisfaction for any nation can resolve large to the small degree of challenges. A sensible approach is also required for the government to becoming a good and stable example for other countries of the world.

The presidential form or the autocratic system of governance can be active if the nation allows for its implementation and running. Without the consent of the ordinary voter, it cannot work and even not in the position to operate. States in the 21st century are defined by their economic powers and influence which they can use over the others. For the strong economy, there would be the need for stability which can only come through the protection of right and the support given to elected representatives. The founder of Canada was highly sensitive about the long term progress and its sustainability which everyone can see in the present era. The crux of whole phenomena is that Canada needs to work more internally than interfering in the affairs of other countries[[7]](#footnote-7). There is no good news from the international world and especially the Middle East that Canada is meddling in the affairs of states. A nation is more important than the system because if the people will allow the government to be kind than it will be able to serve the country.

**Conclusion**

Concluding the discussion of the case study about the ‘Peace, Order and Good Governance’ was the slogan that became the source and mechanism of power between the provincial and federal government. There are various interpretations, and over the 20th century, it explained the limits of central authority. Same was the concept which resulted in the issue of national identity and the beliefs for a peaceful country. In this regard, Canada is supporting the basic rules for the distribution of power among the state organs.

There must be fair explanation and technicality that is divided among various holders of power. One of the significant aspects of this line or order and peace is the acceptance of a political authority over the other state organs. The Parliamentary form of government has the positive sign and it is good for any state. Bundle of examples identifies the success and economic progress of countries having strong parliament. Decisions of a majority and collective wisdom is beneficial than the person who came to power directly or without the support of masses. Future of Canada is bright and peaceful, following the active form and system of governance.
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