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17. The clarity and reliability of this paper can be improved by adding credible sources. The paper does not intend to support the claims or facts through academic sources. This makes the paper less reliable. It is difficult for the researchers to rely on this kind of information that lacks evidentiary support or empirical evidence. By linking the topic to articles on healthcare could improve the reliability.

18. This paper sustains a coherent point of view because it is based on personal thoughts. The viewpoints of the author depicts that he has researched well on the topic. It manages to explain the issues in appropriate way such as by highlighting the comorbid mental health concerns faced by adults. The paper gives clear idea to the readers about the high readmission rates and its impacts on quality of service.

19. Each paragraph in the paper progresses logically as each paragraph gives idea of the next one. The initial paragraph gives a brief introduction on the topic that stresses on the issue of readmission. The next paragraph provides background of the problem and seriousness of the matter. It is then followed by the concept details and later by the application.

20. I think that the paper relies on personal opinion because the author has not used in-text citation or sources for supporting the argument. Relying on personal opinions is not appropriate and can be seen as an apparent weakness of the paper. The paper does not include quotes or facts from healthcare journals.

21. I don’t think that the writer should omitted certain paragraphs from the paper because it is already concise. The information presented in each paragraph is important and required for understanding the problem of readmission at hospitals. Omitting paragraph would undermine the quality of paper and make it ambiguous for the readers.