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Concept of Masculinity

In recent decades, gender research has highlighted how sexuality is an ever-changing and changing phenomenon that cannot be found in any final explanation or core. How we understand the nature of sexuality always depends on the surrounding culture. Our way of experiencing sexual desire and enjoyment depends on the ways in which the various experts in sexuality speak. Sexuality researchers, various therapists and theologians are examples of actors who build our understanding of the ultimate nature of sexuality. Many researchers who first inspected the appearance of the late 19th obsession with sex and gender offered it as a positive, progressive refashioning of our thinking about human sexuality (p.2)

Shame threatens people when the prevailing perception of acceptable sexuality is in conflict with their own experiences and feelings. The concepts of sexuality at different times emerge in shame letters as if through a mirror image. The letters highlight those features of sexuality that are perceived as abnormal. For many of the oldest writers, the perceptions of the present are already ashamed of themselves.

The term contains a lot of aggression. It was possible, for example, to say “research” or “study” of masculinity. The choice of this term gives the intentions of those who use it. Deconstructions of masculinity say that they want to rethink it, but in fact it turns out that they want to destroy this masculinity. That is, use another meaning of the word "deconstruction". Behind the wave of indignation about male domination, which was evident in the example of sexual harassment, hides another truth: the general decline of the status of "male." According to Dr. Fauconney's own books on the sexual issues preoccupying the belle époque consisted of a curious amalgam of both old and new information, a blend of traditional lore and scientific discovery (p.149).
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