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There exists no second opinion about the fact that human activities are impacting negatively on the global climate. With the passage of time, a growing number of scientists have developed a consensus that the environment remains at threat because of human activities, which can result in deleterious consequences. Related to the carbon emissions, scientists have already warned the policymakers. It is for such facts that the new policies derived are made by keeping in view the specified number of carbon emissions. It can not only serve the purpose of limiting the climate effect, rather it can also resist a sizeable negative impact of the carbon emissions. Although an increasing number of public activists are working in lieu of the suggestions made forward to limiting climate change, there are some who oppose this collectivist approach. They cite some ideological myths and reasons to solidify their stance (Merlan, 2019). They believe that climate change is not happening, and it is just another narrative being put forward to derail the attention from other pressing issues.

The scientific data and the claims of the other side are based on the results deduced by the use of modern satellites. They have based their facts on the reasoning that scientific evidence cannot be neglected, and also, the humans ourselves are facing the negative consequences. They call the narrative of them as cynicism and skepticism. The voice of such negative figures varies from region to region (Uscinski & Olivella, 2017). Although there exist strong political and bureaucratic voices against them in these regions, from time to time, the negative ones raise their voices, citing some authentic claims. A 2013 poll conducted in the United States suggested that during that year, a striking 40 percent of people opposed the efforts taken against climate change. These negative people about climate change call this as deleterious approach and unrealistic thinking (Uscinski, Douglas, & Lewandowsky, 2017). However, the other side believes that those who oppose the climate change efforts live in denials and want that political efforts to be tilted toward gains associated with their interests.

Nonetheless, these conspiracy theories regarding climate change are important to consider. Though people have choices to believe whatever they wish to believe about climate change. It is entirely up to their perception and choice if they wish to consider it a major threat or just another hoax. However, opinions about the validity of climatic concern are not important; rather, the actions taken to control the climate are what matter the most as they have the ability to impact the earth's climate. The misconceptions about climate change on social media are vividly flamed by our president Donald Trump who is reportedly a prominent peddler of misinformation. Trump has used his official twitter handle more than 100 times to highlight the doubts about the negative implications of climate change while challenging an overpowering consensus among global scientists (Oakes, 2019). The main issue with condemning the conspiracy theories is that they play into the minds of the conspiracy theorists. Just like Trump has been pushing a false narrative about a detrimental issue because he believes so.

National scientific academies have provided evidence of how climate is changing, and the main cause of global warming is human activities. All global standing bodies agree on the summary conclusions of the report by IPCC. It clearly states that human activities have caused an impact on the atmosphere of the oceans and global water cycle, glaciers melting, and changes in temperature. There is a significant likelihood that human influence is the primary cause for rise in global temperature since 20th century (Bolsen & Druckman, 2018). Senator James Inhofe, a Republican, in his speech in 2003 at the US Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works left a question for the audience that all this hysteria and phony science about global warming could it be man-made and was it the greatest deception among American people. He also stated that the IPCC report had predetermined facts, and the technical and scientific exactitude was trumped by the ideological beliefs (Montague, 2018). The opponents of this dilemma believe that supporter nations of Kyoto Protocol basically aim at the purpose of global governance.
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